



Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Medical Professionals About Organ Donation and Transplantation in Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study

Raghad Alharbi^{1*}, Abdullah Alfozan², Hind Jrais³, Raghad Al-Awn³, Imtinan Ibrahim⁴, Samah Alotaibi⁴, Laila Aljifry⁵, Maryam Alrawi⁶ and Omar Amer⁷

¹Resident Dentist, Ministry of Health, Alba, Saudi Arabia.

²Medical Intern, Ibn Sina National Collage, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

³Medical Student, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia.

⁴Medical students, Fakih College for Medical Sciences, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

⁵Medical Student, Batterjee Medical College, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

⁶Resident Doctor, Heraa General Hospital, Mecca, Saudi Arabia.

⁷ Surgery department, International Medical Center, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract: Transplantation is the preferred therapy for terminal organ disease because it improves patients' long-term survival and quality of life. This study aimed to assess knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding organ donation among medical students and doctors across Saudi Arabia. It is a cross-sectional study using a questionnaire distributed online through social media. The 32-item questionnaire was adapted from a previous study to assess organ donation knowledge, attitudes, and practices among medical students and doctors in Saudi Arabia. Participants demonstrate a moderate level of knowledge, with an average total knowledge score of 6.5 out of 12. Females have higher knowledge scores than males ($p=0.037$), and married participants score higher than non-married individuals ($p=0.031$). Interns and doctors score higher than medical students ($p<0.001$). Most participants support organ donation (85.13%), feel comfortable discussing it (81.28%), and are willing to donate their organs (61.03%). However, concerns about premature treatment termination for registered donors (35.64%) and the belief that your body should be kept intact after death (56.67%) are present. A minority have pledged or signed to donate (33.59%), and even fewer have donated organs (13.59%). Medical professionals in Saudi Arabia have moderate knowledge and good attitudes, but some are concerned about premature treatment termination for donors and fear of disfigurement. The findings highlight the need for educational interventions to improve knowledge and address concerns to bridge the gap between attitudes and actual organ donation practices.

Keywords: Knowledge, Attitude, Practice, Organ donation, Organ transplantation, Saudi Arabia.

*Corresponding Author

Raghad Alharbi , Resident Dentist, Ministry of Health, Alba, Saudi Arabia.

Received On 18 July, 2023

Revised On 22 August, 2023

Accepted On 30 August, 2023

Published On 1 September, 2023

Funding This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agencies in the public, commercial or not for profit sectors.

Citation Raghad Alharbi, Abdullah Alfozan, Hind Jrais, Raghad Al-Awn, Imtinan Ibrahim, Samah Alotaibi, Laila Aljifry and Maryam Alrawi and Omar Amer , Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Medical Professionals About Organ Donation and Transplantation in Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study.(2023).Int. J. Life Sci. Pharma Res.13(5), L425-L432 <http://dx.doi.org/10.22376/ijlpr.2023.13.5.L425-L432>



I. INTRODUCTION

Organ transplantation removes an organ from a donor to be medically transplanted into another individual who needs transplantation for a therapeutic reason or due to end-stage organ failure.^{1,2} Transplantation is the preferred therapy for terminal organ disease because it improves patients' long-term survival and quality of life. There are a tremendous number of people waiting for life-saving organ transplants; for example, in 2018, there were 4,351 cases in Canada, and 223 Canadians passed away while awaiting organ transplantation in the same period.³ In many countries, the greatest barrier to transplantation is a lack of organs and donors.⁴⁻⁶ Multiple factors contribute to organ scarcity, including poor donor recognition, poor consent rates, and inefficient donor care.^{7,8} Although methodological variations constrain these comparisons, Spain is acknowledged to have a well-coordinated system that includes hospital-based experts with specialized training.⁹ In fact, Saudi Arabia has a low rate of organ donation (2–4 per million people), in contrast to nations such as the United States and Spain, which have rates of more than 20 per million people.¹⁰ There is a belief that using financial incentives will raise the number of donors, but in a study conducted in Germany, most participants opposed directly using financial incentives.¹¹ Governments and medical professionals must address the lack of organs available for donation, a global problem,¹² due to an ongoing lack of understanding and negative attitudes regarding organ donation and transplantation.¹³ People's attitudes about organ donation are influenced by essential factors that include education and knowledge, as well as other factors such as religion and culture.^{14,15} Several studies have shown that medical students' awareness of and attitudes about organ donation are important.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Nevertheless, it has been discovered that some knowledge gaps and misunderstandings among healthcare professionals, particularly medical students, need to be adequately addressed.¹⁹ Due to specific ethical concerns in society, it is important that medical students have the necessary knowledge and a highly positive attitude regarding organ donation in this context.¹⁷ A Canadian study found that medical students have inadequate knowledge about organ donation, in which 76% knew that a man could be neurologically dead while the heart is still working, and 69% were not familiar with a circulatory determination of death.³ In Mexico, medical physicians had not received any training about organ donation, and the main gap in their knowledge was related to donor requirements.²⁰ Some studies have assessed knowledge about organ donation in Saudi Arabia and found that 61.1% of medical students in the Western region had weak levels of knowledge.²¹ Another study among medical students at Jouf University in Saudi Arabia found that 51.9% lacked an adequate understanding of organ donation, which is the most significant obstacle to organ donation.²² The study also found that students do not know about the Saudi Centre for Organ Transplantation.²² Different factors are significantly associated with more knowledge about organ donation, including academic year²¹ and having an organ donation card.²³ In contrast, some studies have found that female medical professionals have more knowledge about organ donation in Pakistan²⁴ and Iran,²³ while another study in Saudi Arabia did not find this difference.²¹ Several studies have assessed attitudes about organ donation among medical students and found favorable results.^{3,22,23} In Canada, 96% were willing to be organ donors after they died.³ In Iran, while most students (73.8%) agreed to donate an organ, 67.5% did not know how to obtain a card for organ donation, and only 9.6% had an

organ donation volunteer card.²³ In Saudi Arabia, 51.2% of medical students at Jouf University were prepared to donate their organs under any circumstances, with 48.8% of participants willing to give mostly to family members. There were also 1.9% of respondents who had given their organs at some point.²² According to the available research, organ donation is uncommon in Saudi Arabia. From the findings from several studies, the primary causes of this phenomenon are societal stigma, ignorance, and a lack of knowledge.^{25,26} Unfortunately, over the next few years, the Saudi population will be at an increased risk of suffering from the failure of various organs due to the growing lack of donors.¹⁰ It should be highlighted that each of the previous studies in Saudi Arabia was limited to a specific region and was also limited to medical students. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding organ donation among medical students and doctors across Saudi Arabia.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study design, sampling, and sample size:

In June 2023, a cross-sectional survey was undertaken in Saudi Arabia that targeted medical students and doctors. A convenience sampling technique was used. The sample size was calculated to determine the minimum required sample, set at 385 participants. This calculation considered an alpha level of 0.05, an expected prevalence of 50%, and a confidence level of 95%. An online survey was distributed across various social media platforms, including WhatsApp, Twitter, LinkedIn, TikTok, Snapchat, and Instagram, to recruit study participants. The convenience sampling method was utilized for participant selection.

2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria:

The inclusion criteria were that participants must be adult medical students or working physicians residing and working in Saudi Arabia. Additionally, they were required to provide their consent and approval by signing the study's informed consent document. Exclusion criteria included individuals under 18 or those who did not sign the study's consent form. Each questionnaire was assigned a unique identification number to ensure respondent anonymity and maintain participant information confidentiality.

2.3 Instrument and validity:

The questionnaire comprised 32 questions, divided into four sections. The first section consisted of demographic inquiries, while the second section comprised 12 questions assessing participants' knowledge about organ donation. The third section included 11 questions exploring attitudes about organ donation, and the fourth section encompassed 4 questions regarding the practice of organ donation. Most questions were of the yes/no or agree/disagree type, derived from previous studies.²⁷

2.4 Ethical Statement:

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review of the Board of the International Medical Center with the number 2023-06-212, NCBE Registration No: (H-02-J-010). All the participants were aware of the study and survey. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants before their participation to conduct and publish the study.

3. DATA ANALYSIS

SPSS software was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics were employed to compute the collected data's count, percentage, mean (m), and standard deviation (SD). Furthermore, chi-square, t-test, ANOVA, and linear regression analyses were conducted to determine the relationships between the variables.

4. RESULTS

A total of 390 participants answered the study questionnaire. The participants had a mean age of 28.28 and an SD of 10.41. Detailed participant demographic data are shown in Table 1. Table 1. illustrates participant demographic data. Different demographic factors are significantly associated with knowledge about organ donation.

Table 1: Participant demographic data

| Variable | n | % |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Gender | Male | 196 50.26 |
| | Female | 194 49.74 |
| Marital status | Married | 124 31.79 |
| | Non-married | 266 68.21 |
| Qualification | Student | 203 52.05 |
| | Intern | 53 13.59 |
| | Graduate | 71 18.21 |
| | Specialist | 30 7.69 |
| | Consultant | 33 8.46 |
| | Western | 231 59.23 |
| The region in Saudi Arabia | Central | 40 10.26 |
| | Southern | 28 7.18 |
| | Eastern | 81 20.77 |
| | Northern | 10 2.56 |
| Nationality | Saudi | 327 83.85 |
| | Non-Saudi | 63 16.15 |

4.1 Participants' Knowledge

Participants answered the knowledge questions differently, as shown in Table 2. When the scores for the correct answers were summed into a total score ranging from 0 (minimum level of knowledge) to 12 (maximum level of knowledge), the participants had a mean total knowledge score of 6.5 (SD=2.2). According to the statistical testing, the total knowledge score was significantly higher among females ($p=0.037$, $m=6.74$, $SD=2.17$) than males ($m=6.27$, $SD=2.23$). It was also higher among married participants ($p=0.031$, $m=5.82$, $SD=1.79$) than

non-married ones ($m=6.35$, $SD=2.37$), and higher among interns/doctors ($p<0.001$, $m=7.04$, $SD=1.86$) than medical students ($m=6.00$, $SD=2.38$). According to ANOVA and t-test, the total knowledge score was not significantly affected by region ($p=0.564$) or nationality ($p=0.396$). Table 2. Medical students' and doctors' responses to questions assessing knowledge about organ donation. Organ donation is removing an organ from one person (the donor) and transplanting it to another person (the recipient) who needs it to improve long-term survival and quality of life.

Table 2. Medical students' and doctors' responses to questions assessing knowledge about organ donation

| Question | Yes n (%) | No n (%) | I do not know n (%) |
|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Have you heard of the term "organ donation?" | 368 (94.36) | 14 (3.59) | 8 (2.05) |
| Have you heard of the term "organ transplantation?" | 362 (92.82) | 18 (4.62) | 10 (2.56) |
| Are you aware of the Transplantation of Human Organs Act? | 264 (67.69) | 58 (14.87) | 68 (17.44) |
| Can a brain-dead patient's organs be donated? | 282 (72.31) | 40 (10.26) | 68 (17.44) |
| Will a certified brain-dead registered organ donor be immediately disconnected from ventilation support? | 133 (34.10) | 99 (25.38) | 158 (40.51) |
| Can parents or guardians make decisions on behalf of mentally disabled persons regarding organ donation? | 146 (37.44) | 102 (26.15) | 142 (36.41) |
| Should a donor's and recipient's blood group be matched? | 304 (77.95) | 20 (5.13) | 66 (16.92) |
| Should a donor's human leukocyte antigen be identical to the recipient's for organ transplantation? | 247 (63.33) | 38 (9.74) | 105 (26.92) |
| Can hepatitis B and C carriers donate all their solid organs except the liver? | 155 (39.74) | 95 (24.36) | 140 (35.90) |
| Is malignancy always a contraindication for cadaveric organ donation? | 146 (37.44) | 92 (23.59) | 152 (38.97) |

| | | | |
|--|----------------|------------|-------------|
| Are opportunistic infections a common complication of all transplantations? | 257 (65.90) | 27 (6.92) | 106 (27.18) |
| Are organ transplant recipients more prone to developing cancer after transplantation? | 175 (44.87) | 61 (15.64) | 154 (39.49) |

4.2 Participants attitudes

The participants' attitude about organ donation was overall positive, as shown in Table 3. Most participants support organ donation and believe donating one's organ adds meaning to one's life. However, half the participants agreed to donate

their family members' organs. Table 3. Medical students' and doctors' answers to questions assessing attitudes about organ donation emphasize the potential for increasing the organ donation rate with appropriate interventions, awareness campaigns, and the active involvement of medical professionals.

Table 3. Medical students' and doctors' answers to questions assessing attitudes about organ donation to emphasize the potential for increasing the organ donation rate with appropriate interventions

| Attitude Statement | Yes n (%) | No n (%) |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| Do you support organ donation? | 332 (85.13) | 58 (14.87) |
| Do you feel comfortable thinking or talking about organ donation? | 317 (81.28) | 73 (18.72) |
| Have you agreed to donate organs when you die? | 238 (61.03) | 152 (38.97) |
| Do you agree to donate your family members' organs? | 200 (51.28) | 190 (48.72) |
| Does your family agree with organ donation? | 207 (53.08) | 183 (46.92) |
| Does donating one's organ add meaning to one's life? | 344 (88.21) | 46 (11.79) |
| Does your religion agree with organ donation or transplantation? | 289 (74.10) | 101 (25.90) |
| Do you believe your body should be kept intact after death? | 221 (56.67) | 169 (43.33) |
| Do you have a fear that your body will be disfigured if you donate organs? | 191 (48.97) | 199 (51.03) |
| Will there be premature termination of medical treatment for registered organ donors? | 139 (35.64) | 251 (64.36) |
| Do you think live organ donation is better than cadaveric organ donation for solving an organ shortage? | 309 (79.23) | 81 (20.77) |

4.3 Participants practices regarding organ donation

In the practice section of the questionnaire, 86.4% of participants did not donate an organ, and 82.8% did not receive an organ for transplantation. Half of the participants know

where to sign up to volunteer for organ donation, as shown in Table 4. Table 4. Medical students' and doctors' answers to questions assessing practices regarding organ donation aim to find practical solutions to improve the practice level of organ donation in Saudi Arabia.

Table 4. Medical students' and doctors' answers to questions assessing practices regarding organ donation aiming to find practical solutions to improve the practice level of organ donation in Saudi Arabia

| Practice Question | Yes n (%) | No n (%) |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| Have you pledged-signed up to donate an organ? | 131 (33.59) | 259 (66.41) |
| Have you ever donated an organ? | 53 (13.59) | 337 (86.41) |
| Did you ever receive an organ for transplantation? | 67 (17.18) | 323 (82.82) |
| Do you know where to sign up to volunteer for organ donation? | 210 (53.85) | 180 (46.15) |

4.4 Factors associated with knowledge of organ donation

Table 5 illustrates the factors associated with knowledge of organ donation. Age was statistically significant with a knowledge score of organ donation ($p = 0.01$). The analysis revealed that marital status and gender were also significantly associated with knowledge scores ($p < 0.05$). Also, the

knowledge score was significantly affected by the level of education, as specialists and consultants had more knowledge than undergraduates ($p < 0.05$). At the same time, the nationality and place of residency showed no statistical association with the total knowledge score. Table 5. Factors associated with knowledge of organ donation reveal the demographic factors significantly affecting the knowledge level.

Table 5. Factors associated with knowledge of organ donation to reveal the demographic factors that affect the level of knowledge significantly.

| Factor | Options | Mean | SD | P-value |
|----------------|-------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Age | | 28.28 | 10.41 | 0.016 |
| Gender | Male | 6.27 | 2.22 | 0.037 |
| | Female | 6.74 | 2.17 | |
| Marital Status | Married | 6.82 | 1.79 | 0.031 |
| | Non-married | 6.35 | 2.36 | |
| Nationality | Saudi | 6.46 | 2.22 | 0.396 |
| | Non-Saudi | 6.71 | 2.13 | |

| | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------|------|------|-------|
| Qualification | Student | 6.01 | 2.38 | |
| | Intern | 6.98 | 2.32 | |
| | Graduate (Bachelor) | 6.96 | 1.85 | 0.000 |
| | Specialist | 7.27 | 1.26 | |
| | Consultant | 7.12 | 1.58 | |
| Region | Western | 6.42 | 2.16 | |
| | Central | 7.05 | 1.54 | |
| | Southern | 6.54 | 2.41 | 0.564 |
| | Eastern | 6.49 | 2.45 | |
| | Northern | 6.20 | 3.01 | |

4.5 Factors associated with a positive attitude toward organ donation

Table 6 demonstrates the factors associated with a positive attitude toward organ donation. Age was significantly associated with a positive attitude toward organ donation ($p = 0.02$). In addition, females had a significantly higher positive

attitude score than males ($p = 0.002$). The analysis showed that marital status, nationality, education level, and place of residency were not significantly associated with a positive attitude score. Table 6. Factors associated with a positive attitude toward organ donation to assess the statistically significant effect of demographic factors on the positive attitude scores.

Table 6. Factors associated with a positive attitude toward organ donation to assess the statistically significant effect of demographic factors on the positive attitude scores.

| Factor | Options | Mean | SD | P-value |
|----------------|---------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Age | | 28.28 | 10.41 | 0.02 |
| Gender | Male | 6.36 | 2.48 | 0.002 |
| | Female | 7.11 | 2.14 | |
| Marital Status | Married | 6.82 | 2.44 | 0.627 |
| | Non-married | 6.70 | 2.31 | |
| Nationality | Saudi | 6.69 | 2.40 | 0.378 |
| | Non-Saudi | 6.95 | 2.06 | |
| Qualification | Student | 6.61 | 2.26 | 0.084 |
| | Intern | 6.74 | 2.13 | |
| | Graduate (Bachelor) | 6.43 | 2.44 | |
| | Specialist | 7.33 | 2.51 | |
| | Consultant | 7.60 | 2.66 | |
| Region | Western | 6.84 | 2.32 | 0.104 |
| | Central | 6.62 | 2.72 | |
| | Southern | 5.78 | 2.67 | |
| | Eastern | 6.92 | 2.02 | |
| | Northern | 5.70 | 2.36 | |

5. DISCUSSION

The present study assessed organ donation knowledge, attitudes, and practices among medical students and doctors in Saudi Arabia. Participants demonstrated a moderate level of knowledge. Females, married respondents, and interns/doctors had higher knowledge scores than males, non-married individuals, and medical students. Most participants supported organ donation, felt comfortable discussing it, and were willing to donate their organs. However, there were concerns about premature treatment termination for donors and beliefs that your body should be kept intact after death. A minority had pledged or signed to donate, and even fewer had donated organs. As noted, our study showed moderate levels of knowledge regarding organ donation. In comparison, a previous study among undergraduate medical students in Canada found that students had limited knowledge of organ donation.³ Specifically, 91% of the Canadian students adequately knew that neurological death is irreversible, while 76% acknowledged that someone can be neurologically deceased while their heart is still beating.³ However, only 69% of the students knew of a circulatory determination of death.³ A study among students in India reported that 49.4% of the

participants knew the risks of organ donation. In comparison, 35% were aware of the concept of the human donor card.²⁸ Another study conducted among medical students in India reported uniformly low knowledge scores among all groups of students, indicating an alarming lack of knowledge.²⁷ In contrast, in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, it was observed that healthcare professionals had better knowledge about organ donation than the general population.²⁹ However, the same study noted a decrease in the percentage of individuals allowing their families to donate organs, indicating a potential decline in knowledge.²⁹ Overall, these studies highlight the need for educational interventions and curriculum changes to improve knowledge levels and ensure an accurate understanding of organ donation among medical professionals and students. In terms of attitudes about organ donation, our study found that most participants supported organ donation (85.13%), felt comfortable discussing it (81.28%), and were willing to donate their organs (61.03%). A similar positive attitude was reported among medical students in Canada,³ with 96% of respondents expressing their willingness to become organ donors after death. The study among students in India reported that 68% of the participants felt the need for laws to govern the organ donation process, indicating a

positive attitude toward regulation.²⁸ Additionally, 63.4% of the participants in the same study expressed their willingness to be part of any organ donation group, highlighting their motivation to participate actively.²⁸ Similar to the knowledge scores, the study conducted in Riyadh found that healthcare professionals had better attitudes about organ donation than the general population, suggesting a higher level of awareness and acceptance within the medical community.²⁹ They also noted slight improvements in attitude over the years. Still, they observed a relatively low proportion of participants who carried a donor card, indicating a need for increased awareness and practical steps.²⁹ Similarly, another study among medical students in the western region of Saudi Arabia reported that 86.1% of the participants exhibited an appropriate attitude about organ donation, indicating a favorable disposition.²¹ Around one-third of the participants in our study (35.64%) expressed the belief that there will be premature treatment termination for registered donors. It was highlighted by a systematic review that found this belief is negatively affecting organ donation.³⁰ There exists a prevalent apprehension regarding inadequate and premature cessation of therapy for enlisted organ contributors among the Chinese and Korean populations, as evidenced by many studies.³¹⁻³³ According to survey results, a significant proportion of the Chinese public (51.6%) and Hong Kong nurses (15%) believed donors would receive suboptimal treatment. Similarly, a considerable proportion of Korean nurses (67%) expressed apprehension about being misdiagnosed as brain dead. These findings were reported in several studies.³¹⁻³³ Handling such misunderstandings is crucial, given that healthcare providers are considered one of the main sources for disseminating health information to the public.³⁴ In addition, a high percentage (56.67%) of people believed that your body should be kept intact after death. It was noticed in China and seems to be a cultural issue therein.³⁵ It was also a concern in a systematic review regarding organ donation,³⁶ indicating that this issue might not be limited to certain geographic areas. One prior study reported that religious beliefs might influence this view.³⁷ Because the majority of residents in Saudi Arabia are Muslim, there has been controversy about organ donation from a religious standpoint.³⁷ This might be one of the reasons for the prevalence of this attitude. In the present study, a minority of participants had pledged or signed to donate

10. REFERENCES

- 1) Sağıroğlu M, Günay O, Balci E. Attitudes of Turkish medical and law students towards organ donation. *Int J Organ Transplant Med.* 2015;6(1):1-7. PMID 25737771.
- 2) Shah R, Patel A, Ramanuj V, Solanki N. Knowledge and attitudes about organ donation among commerce college students. *Natl J Community Med.* 2015;6(4):533-5.
- 3) Robert P, Bégin F, Ménard-Castonguay S, Frenette AJ, Quiroz-Martinez H, Lamontagne F, et al. Attitude and knowledge of medical students about organ donation—training needs identified from a Canadian survey. *BMC Med Educ.* 2021;21(1):368. doi: 10.1186/s12909-021-02736-2, PMID 34225725.
- 4) Annadurai K, Mani K, Ramasamy J. A study on knowledge, attitude, and practices about organ donation among college students in Chennai, Tamil Nadu—2012. *Prog Health Sci.* 2013;3(2):59.
- 5) Bastani B. The present and future of transplant organ shortage: some potential remedies. *J Nephrol.*

(33.59%), and even fewer had donated organs (13.59%). In contrast, a previous study in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, indicated that no one had signed up for organ donation.³⁸ Thus, our results can be taken as indicating an improvement. One reason is that, in Saudi Arabia, any adult can sign a donation consent using a main government application (Absher).³⁹ Another potential reason for the low level of donations might be that people have not had the chance to complete the donation form, in addition to many of the participants in our study being students and, thus, still young.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Medical professionals in Saudi Arabia have moderate knowledge and good attitudes about organ donation. Still, they also have concerns about premature treatment termination for donors and fears of disfigurement. The findings highlight. These findings collectively highlight the positive attitudes about organ donation among the studied populations and emphasize the potential for increasing the organ donation rate with appropriate interventions, awareness campaigns, and the active involvement of medical professionals to improve knowledge and address concerns to bridge the gap between attitudes and actual organ donation practices.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We want to thank Milestone Research School and Dr. Khalid Aboalshamat for their support and guidance in this research.

8. AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Study conception and design: Raghad Alharbi and Omar Amer; data collection: All authors; analysis and interpretation of results: Raghad Alharbi, Abdullah Alfozan, Hind Jrais, Laila Aljifry and Imtinan Ibrahim; draft manuscript preparation: Raghad Alharbi, Mariam Alrawi, Samah Alotaibi and Raghad Alawn. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

9. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Conflicts of interest declared none.

- 2020;33(2):277-88. doi: 10.1007/s40620-019-00634-x, PMID 31399908.
- 6) Lewis A, Koukoura A, Tsianos GI, Gargavanis AA, Nielsen AA, Vassiliadis E. Organ donation in the US and Europe: the supply vs demand imbalance. *Transplant Rev (Orlando).* 2021;35(2):100585. doi: 10.1016/j.trre.2020.100585, PMID 33071161.
- 7) Shroff S. Legal and ethical aspects of organ donation and transplantation. *Indian J Urol.* 2009;25(3):348-55. doi: 10.4103/0970-1591.56203, PMID 19881131.
- 8) Shroff S, Rao S, Kurian G, Suresh S. Organ donation and transplantation—the Chennai experience in India. *Transplant Proc.* 2007;39(3):714-8. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2007.03.024, PMID 17445579.
- 9) Matesanz R, Marazuela R, Domínguez-Gil B, Coll E, Mahillo B, de la Rosa G. The 40 donors per million population plan: an action plan to improve organ donation and transplantation in Spain. *Transplant Proc.* 2009;41(8):3453-6. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.09.011, PMID 19857769.

10) Alnasyan AY, Aldihan KA, Albassam AA, Alhusain FA, Al Tamimi AR. How informed are the Saudi public about the value of organ donation: a community-based cross-sectional study. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl.* 2019;30(6):1236-44. doi: 10.4103/1319-2442.275467, PMID 31929270.

11) Feeley TH, Tamburlin J, Vincent DE. An educational intervention on organ and tissue donation for first-year medical students. *Prog Transplant.* 2008;18(2):103-8. doi: 10.1177/152692480801800207, PMID 18615975.

12) Figueroa CA, Mesfum ET, Acton NT, Kunst AE. Medical students' knowledge and attitudes toward organ donation: results of a Dutch survey. *Transplant Proc.* 2013;45(6):2093-7. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2013.02.135, PMID 23953518.

13) Ilango S, Nandhini M, Manikandan S, Sembulingam P. Awareness of organ donation among fresh students in medical field. *Int J Sci Clin Invent.* 2014;1(6):274-83.

14) Agarwal S. Do medical students have enough knowledge about organ donation? *IOSR JDMS.* 2015;14(7):29-34.

15) Chakradhar K, Doshi D, Srikanth Reddy B, Kulkarni S, Padma Reddy M, Sruthi Reddy S. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding organ donation among Indian dental students. *Int J Organ Transplant Med.* 2016;7(1):28-35. PMID 26889371.

16) Bharambe VK, Rathod H, Angadi K. Knowledge and attitude regarding organ donation among medical students. *BANTAO J.* 2016;14(1):34-40. doi: 10.1515/bj-2016-0008.

17) Kose OO, Onsuz MF, Topuzoglu A. Knowledge levels of and attitudes to organ donation and transplantation among university students. *North Clin Istanbul.* 2015;2(1):19-25. doi: 10.14744/nci.2015.58070, PMID 28058335.

18) Marqués-Lespier JM, Ortiz-Vega NM, Sánchez MC, Sánchez MC, Soto-Avilés OE, Torres EA. Knowledge of and attitudes toward organ donation: A survey of medical students in Puerto Rico. *PR Health Sci J.* 2013;32(4):187-93. PMID 24397216.

19) Liu S, Liu C, Cao X, Shang B, Chen A, Liu B. The difference in the attitude of Chinese and Japanese college students regarding deceased organ donation. *Transplant Proc.* 2013;45(6):2098-101. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2012.09.119, PMID 23731894.

20) Marván ML, Mora-Gallegos J, García-Prieto C, Rosas-Santiago FJ, Santillán-Doherty P. Conocimientos y actitudes hacia la donación de órganos en una muestra de médicos de dos hospitales en México. *Gac Med Mex.* 2022;158(5):299-304.

21) Omran R, Yasawy MA, Al Harthi AA, Bokhari AY, Alabdali AS, Alzaidi MM, et al. Knowledge and attitude among medical students regarding organ donation in the western region of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Int J Dev Ctries.* 2020;4(8):1138-43. doi: 10.24911/IJMD.51-1589941975.

22) Thirunavukkarasu A, Alanazi MO, Albulayhid SB, Alinad AK, Alqader SA, Aljudia HA. Knowledge, attitude, and barriers toward organ donation among healthcare science students of Jouf University, Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Dev Ctries.* 2020;4(6):996-1001. doi: 10.24911/IJMD.51-1589736821.

23) Kolagari S, Bayei J, Asoodeh V, Rajaei S, Mehbakhsh Z, Modanloo M. Knowledge and attitude of Iranian medical university students about organ donation and transplantation: a cross-sectional study. *Ethiop J Health Sci.* 2022;32(1):127-36. doi: 10.4314/ejhs.v32i1.14, PMID 35250224.

24) Hasan H, Zehra A, Riaz L, Riaz R. Insight into the knowledge, attitude, practices, and barriers concerning organ donation amongst undergraduate students of Pakistan. *Cureus.* 2019;11(8):e5517. doi: 10.7759/cureus.5517, PMID 31687293.

25) Al-Ghanim SA. The willingness toward deceased organ donation among university students. Implications for health education in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* 2009;30(10):1340-5. PMID 19838445.

26) Alam AA. Public opinion on organ donation in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl.* 2007;18(1):54-9. PMID 17237892.

27) Darlington D, Anitha FS, Joseph C. Study of knowledge, attitude, and practice of organ donation among medical students in a tertiary care center in South India. *Cureus.* 2019;11(6):e4896. doi: 10.7759/cureus.4896, PMID 31423375.

28) Sam N, Ganesh R, Indrapriyadarshini V, Jeyamarthan S, Nandhini C. Awareness, knowledge, and attitude regarding organ donation among final year students of medical, dental, engineering, and arts and science colleges in Thiruvallur and Chennai City, India. *Indian J Transplant.* 2018;12(1):25-9. doi: 10.4103/ijot.ijot_60_17.

29) Altraif I, Altuwaijri N, Aldhbiban L, Alhoshan F, Alomari R, Moukaddem A, et al. Knowledge and attitude toward organ donation among medical staff and outpatients at King Abdulaziz Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl.* 2020;31(6):1344-50. doi: 10.4103/1319-2442.308343, PMID 33565446.

30) Li MT, Hillyer GC, Husain SA, Mohan S. Cultural barriers to organ donation among Chinese and Korean individuals in the United States: a systematic review. *Transpl Int.* 2019;32(10):1001-18. doi: 10.1111/tri.13439, PMID 30968472.

31) Aijing L, Wenzhao X, Wei W, Qiquan W, Xuantong D. Public opinion on organ donation after death and its influence on attitudes toward organ donation. *Ann Transplant.* 2016;21:516-24. doi: 10.12659/aot.899268, PMID 27535587.

32) Kim JRT, Fisher MJ, Elliott D. Undergraduate nursing students' knowledge and attitudes towards organ donation in Korea: implications for education. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2006;26(6):465-74. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2006.01.003, PMID 16540211.

33) Kim JR, Elliott D, Hyde C. The influence of sociocultural factors on organ donation and transplantation in Korea: findings from key informant interviews. *J Transcult Nurs.* 2004;15(2):147-54. doi: 10.1177/1043659603262485, PMID 15070497.

34) Hesse BW, Moser RP, Rutten LJ. Surveys of physicians and electronic health information. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(9):859-60. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0909595, PMID 20200398.

35) Huang J, Millis JM, Mao Y, Millis MA, Sang X, Zhong S. A pilot organ donation program after cardiac death in China. *Lancet.* 2012;379(9818):862-5. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61086-6, PMID 22078722.

36) Walker W, Broderick A, Sque M. Factors influencing bereaved families' decisions about organ donation: an integrative literature review. *West J Nurs Res.* 2013;35(10):1339-59. doi: 10.1177/0193945913484987, PMID 23618820.

37) Robson NZ, Razack AH, Dublin N. Review paper: Organ transplants: ethical, social, and religious issues in a multicultural society. *Asia Pac J Public Health.* 2010;22(3):271-8. doi: 10.1177/1010539509357446, PMID 20460294.

38) Almohsen SS, Alobaishy SM, Alghammas NI, Albulayhi SK, Alrashid SM, Aljamal RY, et al. Attitudes and beliefs on organ donation among students in a university in Central Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* 2016;37(5):591. doi: 10.15537/smj.2016.5.14701, PMID 27146628.

39) Radaideh MA, Mohammad NI, Mukbil MM. A proposed cloud-based platform for facilitating donation services in support of needy students. *J Supercomput.* 2023;79(9):10063-92. doi: 10.1007/s11227-023-05062-0.