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ABSTRACT

HGPS is a rare genetic disorder, caused by mutations in genes, encoding proteins of the nuclear lamina. Analysis of protein interaction network in the cell would be the key to understand how complex processes, lead to diseases. Protein-protein interaction network (PPIN) analysis provides the possibility to quantify the hub proteins in large networks as well as their interacting partners. A comprehensive genes/proteins dataset related to HGPS is created by analysing public proteomic data and text mining of scientific literature. From this dataset the associated PPI network is acquired to understand the relationships between topology and functionality of the PPI network. The extended network of seed proteins network consisted of 128 nodes connected via 376 edges (Fusion) and 127 nodes connected via 377 edges (Coexpression), targeted for analysis. The backbone network derived from giant network with high BC proteins presents a clear and visual overview which shows closely related proteins of HGPS and the crosstalk between them. Proteins with high BC and large degree have been identified as backbone network of disease. LMNA with highest BC and CC located in the centre of the network. Finally, the robustness of central proteins and accuracy of backbone are validated by 127 test networks. Based on the network topological parameters such as degree, closeness centrality, betweenness centrality we conclude that integrated PPIN is centred on LMNA. Although finding of other interacting partners are strongly represented as novel drug targets for HGPS.
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INTRODUCTION

Hutchinson-Gilford Progeria Syndrome (HGPS) is a lethal congenital disorder, characterised by premature ageing in children, caused by a point mutation in the lamin A gene.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\) Although HGPS was first described by Jonathan Hutchinson\(^3\) and then by Hastings Gilford,\(^4\) more than a century ago, it was not until 2003 that the genetic basis of HGPS was uncovered.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^5\) Manifestations of HGPS typically appear before 24 months of age (HGPS Research database, www.HGPSresearch.org),\(^6\) and include loss of subcutaneous fat, severe growth retardation, hair loss, bone deformations, osteoporosis, delayed dentition, joint stiffness, hip-dislocations, sclerodermatous areas, and progressive arteriosclerosis. HGPS patients have an aged appearance, and in the final stages of disease, most children have hypertension, angina, and dilated hearts because of atherosclerotic heart disease. Children with HGPS generally die of myocardial infarction or cerebrovascular accident at an average age of thirteen years.\(^7\) Systems approaches aim to develop an understanding of the inter-relationships between proteins, metabolites or other molecules.\(^8\) Modern high-throughput techniques, taking measurements on a system-wide level, are well suited to the global analysis and modelling of networks for different diseases.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^10\) In comparison to wet lab techniques, computational methods have the potential to reduce noise and systematic errors.\(^11\) Protein complexes are remarkable for understanding principles of cellular organization and function.\(^8\) High throughput experimental techniques have generated a large amount of protein interactions, which make it doable to uncover protein complexes from protein protein interaction networks.\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^13\) A PPI network (PPIN) can be modelled as an undirected graph, where vertices stand for proteins and edges represent interactions between proteins.\(^14\) Protein complexes are set of
proteins that interact with one another, typically dense subgraphs in PPI networks.\textsuperscript{14-15} To reveal the significance of the HGPS disease, in silico based methodology has been used to identify the key proteins and their interactors.\textsuperscript{16-17} The integration of proteins interface structure into interaction graph models gives a better explanation of hub proteins, and builds up the relationship between the role of the hubs in the cell and their topological properties.\textsuperscript{18-20} In this study, the interactions among the proteins have been implemented to produce and analyse a giant network by the topological analysis of the PPIN derived from the genes/proteins related to HGPS.\textsuperscript{3-6} Different bioinformatics tools related to the proposed methodology have been implemented to construct the PPI network of candidate genes and analyzed the topological properties like degree, betweenness centrality (BC) and closeness centrality (CC).\textsuperscript{20-21}

**METHOD**

Research method used in this study mainly included five steps, first step: Extraction of candidate genes, second step: Construction of PPIN of the seed proteins, third step: Merging of all PPIN scanned from seed proteins, fourth step: Analysis of the giant PPIN according to topological properties, fifth step: Acquiring backbone network.

**Extraction of the candidate gene**

For the extraction of the candidate genes related to HGPS; the PolySearch text mining system and NCBI database have been considered.\textsuperscript{22} PolySearch is a web-based text mining system for extracting relationships between human diseases, genes, mutations, drugs and metabolites, It can produce relevant information regarding to an individual query. The query type is ‘Disease-Gene-Protein Association’ and the query keyword is ‘HGPS’. So using this tool we fetched 58 candidate genes associated with HGPS disease. To check the accuracy, we manually confirmed whether these genes are associated with HGPS, and shorted the genes on the basis of Z score value >0. Finally a total of fourteen candidate genes were obtained, Table 1.

**Construction of PPI network of the seed proteins**

To Identify PPI interactions of the seed proteins STRING database has been used.\textsuperscript{23} Interactions in STRING are provided with a confidence score, and accessory information such as protein domains and 3D structures are made available, all within a stable and consistent identifier space.\textsuperscript{23} Fusion and coexpression attributes have been fixed to construct the PPI network, which are appropriate to consider for analysis.\textsuperscript{24}

**Merging of all PPI network scanned from seed proteins**

To merge all the interacting networks of seed proteins within a single network for visualization and analysis Cytoscape v3.0.1 has been used.\textsuperscript{25} Merged network includes different clustering of the seed proteins which result in formation of distinguish networks, Figure 1, considering only one network with highest number of existing nodes and edges, which have maximum interactions among the seed proteins and termed as giant network. Giant network has been extracted from the merged network after omitting other small networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>The list of genes extracted from NCBI and PolySearch Text mining system database showing association with HGPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td>Symbol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BANF1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C myc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DDX12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ELN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>EMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ERCC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ERCC4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ROBO3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LMNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>MMP20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SIRT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SUN2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of the giant PPI network according to Topological Properties

PPIN of relevant disease represented by an undirected graph $G(V, E)$, where $V$ represents the set of vertices in the graph $G$ and $E$ represents the set of edges.\(^\text{26}\) NetworkAnalyzer, used to compute various network parameters.\(^\text{25}\) To predict and study the key nodes or hub proteins of the giant network topological parameters have been calculated. Therefore, for analyzing the giant network the degree, BC and CC values for each node have been calculated. That helps in finding the proteins of central positions in the network, as they can be highly important from a functional point of view too. In undirected networks, the node degree of a node $n$ is the number of edges linked to $n$.\(^\text{28-29}\) The number of links of a node was observed to follow a power law distribution, that is, the probability of a node having degree $k$ is proportional to $k^{-\gamma}$, and the distribution is independent of the number of nodes; hence these networks are called scale free. Scale-free networks have many nodes with small degrees and allow nodes with high degrees (hubs) with decreasing probability.\(^\text{30}\) Betweenness measures how often nodes occur on the shortest paths between other nodes.\(^\text{31}\) For a graph $G(V, E)$, with $n$ vertices, the betweenness centrality $C_B(v)$ a vertex $v$ is defined in equation (1),

$$C_B(v) = \sum_{s \neq v \neq t} \frac{\sigma_{st}(v)}{\sigma_{st}}$$

Where $\sigma_{st}$ is the number of shortest paths from $s$ to $t$, and $\sigma_{st}(v)$ is the number of shortest paths from $s$ to $t$ that passes through a vertex $v$.

Closeness centrality\(^\text{32}\) $C_c(n)$ of a node $n$ is defined as the reciprocal of the average shortest path length and is computed as,

$$C_c(n) = \frac{1}{\text{avg}(L(n,m))}$$

Figure 1

Overview of the extended network. Fusion attribute, 128 nodes and 376 edges, includes one giant network and six separated small networks.
Where \( L(n,m) \) is the length of the shortest path between two nodes \( n \) and \( m \). The closeness centrality of each node is a number between 0 and 1. In PPIN the nodes with high degree defined as hub proteins and the nodes with high betweenness as bottleneck proteins.  

1. 
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**Figure 2**

**The topology of the giant network.** The giant network extracted from the extended network is the biggest component in the extended network. 

(a) Fusion 45 nodes and 125 edges 

(b) Coexpression, 45 nodes 132 edges
Table 2
The list of high BC nodes and their corresponding CC values
(a) Coexpression (b) Fusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>NODE</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>SN</th>
<th>NODE</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>CC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LMNA</td>
<td>0.53913997</td>
<td>0.611111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>LMNA</td>
<td>0.53913997</td>
<td>0.61111111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BANF1</td>
<td>0.29134176</td>
<td>0.478261</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ZMPSTE24</td>
<td>0.294926</td>
<td>0.44444444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ZMPSTE24</td>
<td>0.26920366</td>
<td>0.444444</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>BANF1</td>
<td>0.29134176</td>
<td>0.47826087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EMD</td>
<td>0.20767941</td>
<td>0.52381</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>EMD</td>
<td>0.20767941</td>
<td>0.52380952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LMNB1</td>
<td>0.1278452</td>
<td>0.505747</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LMNB1</td>
<td>0.1278452</td>
<td>0.50574713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SYNE1</td>
<td>0.10202344</td>
<td>0.488889</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SYNE1</td>
<td>0.10202344</td>
<td>0.48888889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SYNE2</td>
<td>0.08122821</td>
<td>0.478261</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SYNE2</td>
<td>0.08122821</td>
<td>0.47826087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SUN2</td>
<td>0.04756242</td>
<td>0.369748</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>SUN2</td>
<td>0.04756242</td>
<td>0.3697479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NUP153</td>
<td>0.03009939</td>
<td>0.389381</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NUP153</td>
<td>0.03009939</td>
<td>0.38938053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LEMD3</td>
<td>0.02118878</td>
<td>0.483516</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>LEMD3</td>
<td>0.02118878</td>
<td>0.48351648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>0.01603242</td>
<td>0.407407</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>0.01603242</td>
<td>0.40740741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ICMT</td>
<td>0.01233263</td>
<td>0.419048</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>LMNB2</td>
<td>0.00334743</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>RCE1</td>
<td>0.01233263</td>
<td>0.419048</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NUP37</td>
<td>0.00264271</td>
<td>0.34108527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>LMNB2</td>
<td>0.00334743</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>SUN1</td>
<td>0.00210787</td>
<td>0.36666667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>NUP37</td>
<td>0.00264271</td>
<td>0.341085</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ACTL6A</td>
<td>5.29E-04</td>
<td>0.33082707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
The list of large Degree nodes and their CC values
(a) Coexpression (b) Fusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>CC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LMNA</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.611111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>LMNB1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.505747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LMNB1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.50574713</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LMNA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.611111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SYNE1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.48888889</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SYNE1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.488889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EMD</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.52380952</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>EMD</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.52381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BANF1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.47826087</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>BANF1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.478261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SYNE2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.47826087</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SYNE2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.478261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ZMPSTE24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.44444444</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ZMPSTE24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4444444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SUN2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3697479</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>SUN2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.369748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SUN1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.36666667</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SUN1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.366667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SMC3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.36363636</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SMC3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.363636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ACD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.36363636</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ACD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.363636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>NUP153</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.38938053</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NUP153</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.389381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>NUP37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.34108527</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NUP37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3410858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SYCP1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.36065574</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>SYCP1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.360656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>BRCA1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.36065574</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>BRCA1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.360656</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Giant Network
Fourteen numbers of candidate genes related to HGPS disease after using PolySearch Text mining tools were collected. Seed proteins have been generated from STRING. At the beginning step to construct the merged network, we fixed attributes, therefore, according to each individual attribute two different merged networks formed. First, fusion attribute- the merged network had been combination of seven different networks. LMNA, DDX12, SIRT1, ROBO3, ELN, MMP20, ERCC1 are the seed proteins as well as play the central role in each seven sub networks. The merged network consists of 128 nodes and 376 edges. These nodes are distributed in seven different clusters according to interaction possibility, so seven distinct sub networks had been formed. The large network among them consists of 45 nodes and 125 edges.
taken as giant network. Similarly, considering the coexpression attribute the merged network consists of 127 nodes and 377 edges, Figure 2(a,b) It is notified that in both cases previously said seed proteins have the key role in each sub network. Similar to fusion attribute in case of coexpression attribute LMNA is the key protein in the giant network. The giant network consists of 45 nodes and 132 edges according to coexpression attributes.

Key nodes in the PPIN: Backbone Network
To study the key nodes of giant network according to BC, CC and degree for each node has to be measured and comparison can be made according to values for different attributes. Topological statistics of network calculated with NetWorkAnalyzer, among them highest 15 nodes with corresponding BC, CC and degree extracted, are summarized in Table 2 and Table 3. To discriminate the nodes with high BC value fixed the threshold at 15% of the total node set of the network, i.e. 7 proteins with high BC value have been chosen. Among these seven proteins LMNA at highest with BC value 0.56, ZMPSTE24, BANF1, EMD, LMNB1, SYNE1, SYNE2 other proteins with high BC value, Table 4. The links in between these proteins are considered to construct backbone of the network, Figure 3. Concisely, it is possible to measure the number of shortest paths among those nodes which have high BC value rather than considering all nodes of the network.

Sub network consisting of all shortest paths
To analyze a sub network in which all related proteins to the HGPS disease to be connected directly or indirectly with minimum number of nodes, consider the network that consists of those nodes which implied the shortest paths between every pair of the seed proteins. But this step is not compulsory if the giant network consists of all such shortest path. From the construction of the sub network it can be concluded that LMNA has the highest BC value in comparison with all other candidate gene of the HGPS disease. This sub network consists of 65 nodes and 2 proteins which are neither having high BC value nor seed proteins. This result is summarized according to the fusion parameter. Similarly the result according to the other parameter coexpression was also finding out.

The Robustness of the backbone network and LMNA as a Central Protein
If we consider the backbone of any network with nodes along with high BC values then validation of the backbone network and the LMNA as central protein is to be verified. For this purpose we constructed different testing network using some number of genes from total genes list, considering as initial seeds. Now omitting genes randomly from the range between 1 to 7. In this random sampling one can make different combination of omitting genes from the list of seed proteins. When the number of omitting genes is higher or equal to three then we consider two genes randomly and in each case LMNA is considered as fixed gene which have to be omitted every time and only 20 times
randomly selected genes are taken to examine the test network. So therefore almost 127 test networks had been constructed and BC values calculated accordingly. Among the total of 127 test network, the number of frequency of LMNA in test network is 89. The frequency of LMNA has to be calculated with the largest BC value and the accuracy of the back bone network has to be measured too, to validate the LMNA as a central protein of the general interaction network as well as back bone network. The accuracy of the back bone network is 0.78198, Table 5. It is examined that whenever the number of omitting genes was larger than 3 then the accuracy of back bone network and frequency of the LMNA decreased continuously.

**Table 4**
*The backbone network consists of 7 nodes with high BC value Fusion*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Node</th>
<th>BC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LMNA</td>
<td>0.53913997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ZMPSTE24</td>
<td>0.294926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BANF1</td>
<td>0.29134176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EMD</td>
<td>0.20767941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LMNB1</td>
<td>0.1278452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SYNE1</td>
<td>0.10202344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SYNE2</td>
<td>0.08122821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5**
*Frequency of nodes with the largest BC value and accuracy of backbone in the 127 test networks*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of omitted genes</th>
<th>Frequency of nodes with the largest BC value in the test networks</th>
<th>Accuracy of the backbone</th>
<th>Number of the test networks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LMNA 14 ZMPSTE24 0000000 000 000 000 LMNB1 0.88277 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LMNA 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.84548 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LMNA 14 4 1 0 1 0 0 0.76858 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LMNA 13 4 2 0 0 0 0 1 0.74596 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LMNA 12 5 1 1 0 0 1 0.74485 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LMNA 11 5 1 1 0 1 1 0.74365 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LMNA 12 4 2 0 0 0 2 0.74258 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>89     22 7 2 1 1 5 0.78198 127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6**
*Comparative network statics for Fusion and Coexpression*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Network statics</th>
<th>Fusion</th>
<th>Coexpression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clustering Coefficient</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Network diameter</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Network radius</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Network centralization</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Shortest paths</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Characteristic path length</td>
<td>2.690</td>
<td>2.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Avg. No.of neighbours</td>
<td>5.378</td>
<td>5.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Number of nodes</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Network density</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Network heterogeneity</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4
Betweenness centrality of the network with a fitted line
(a) Fusion (b) Coexpression

Figure 5
Node Degree distribution of the network with a fitted power law, R-squared value reported is the R-squared value for the fitted line on logarithmized data.
(a) Fusion (b) Coexpression
DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study is to find out the essential key proteins related to HGPS. Insilico approaches of bioinformatics had been taken to validate the literature about the list of key proteins mainly involved in the disease network spreading. So from this model we suggest that the central proteins in the PPI network can be even more important in systems based medicine and drug design than either the hubs or the disease proteins themselves. Here the motto is to identify the hub of proteins which are functionally expressed in the case of disease expression. Those hub proteins of the PPI network may be of lower topological importance in the groups of disease proteins but they are involved in the disease mechanism from the initial expression level to functionally expressed level and most importantly such hubs of proteins play the role of mediator to activate other genes of the network and indirectly they have huge effect on the construction of the merged network. By quantitatively identifying, the most important mediator proteins which are not present in the hubs of the proteins also play key roles in maintaining communication between disease proteins or genes. From the topological analysis it is clear that LMNA is center key protein as well as the involvement of other key proteins BANF1, ZMPSTE24, EMD, LMNB1, SYN1, SYN2 in the different stage of the disease means that without interactions with these proteins the disease interaction network is not possible to construct. In this attempt a study was also made on the comparative analysis of the network according to two most important attributes to understand how the attributes can make an effect on the network analysis in case of our experiment. In following Table 6 the comparison between fusion and coexpression has been summarized. The results of all the Parameters have approximately same value, only shortest path in case of coexpression is little bit high which does not affect the other parameter like BC value, CC value, clustering coefficient, etc. Graphical results of different topological parameters shown in Figure 4 (a,b), explains that the highest betweenness centrality in the giant network is approximate 0.54 and number of nodes is 20. This implies, the node having the highest betweenness value also has the highest number of neighbors which signifies evidences of the key node of the network. If we compare the second highest betweenness value of the network, it is 0.29 and consists of around thirteen neighbors. Therefore the node having the first position in both cases of BC value and neighborhood, proving better candidature for the key role in extended merged giant network. NetworkAnalyzer can fit a power law to some topological parameters and follow the least squares method, and only points with positive coordinate values are considered for the fit, gives the correlation between the given data points and the corresponding points on the fitted curve. In addition, the R-squared value (also known as coefficient of determination) is reported. This coefficient gives the proportion of variability in a data set, which is explained by a fitted linear model.
Therefore, the R-squared value is computed on logarithmized data, where the power-law curve: 
\[ y = \beta x^\alpha \]

is transformed into linear model: 
\[ \ln y = \ln \beta + \alpha \ln x. \]

Here correlation between the data points and corresponding points on the line is approximately 0.682 and 0.670, R-squared value is 0.392 and 0.389 respectively for fusion and coexpression. Figure 5 (a,b) show a, graphical representation of the number of nodes in a giant network, according to degrees, the distribution of those nodes which are following minimum number of connectivity i.e. nodes are connected by at least one edge. It was identified that when the number of nodes are 08 then the degree of such nodes is 04. It was also, observed that in some cases where the number of degrees was high, the number of nodes were less. This implies such nodes are not part of giant network and they made subnetwork which contains less nodes. Therefore the connectivity is high, but the node is less. NetworkAnalyzer provides another useful feature - fitting a line on the data points of some complex parameters. The method applied is the least squares method for linear regression. 

Fitting a line can be used to identify linear dependencies between the values of the x and y coordinates in a complex parameter. The fitted line on degree, having correlation between the data points and corresponding points on the line is approximately 0.704 and 0.627, R-squared value is 0.386 and 0.394 respectively for fusion and coexpression. Figure 6 (a,b), explains the value of closeness centrality of each node of the giant network, according to the number of neighbors. Clearly, it shows that only single node consists of highest CC value which is 0.61 approximate worth having 18 neighbors and graph also fitted to power law having correlation between data points and corresponding point on the line is approximately 0.218 and 0.223, R-squared value is 0.560 and 0.568.

**CONCLUSION**

In the present study, a comprehensive initial dataset of genes statistically related to HGPS and a further expansion through the construction of related PPIN were created. Relationships between interacting proteins according to topological properties were studied. It was shown that a protein or a hub of proteins can play an important role to interact with other proteins and also extend the PPI disease network. Again, it is possible to find out the key proteins, which are main mediator for disease networks. Identifying such hub of proteins can help to understand the mechanism of pathways it might also be possible to emphasize that they have high functional importance in the cell. Most of seed proteins associated with HGPS and their PPI neighbours are connected to a giant network, which is analyzed by using different centrality indexes for hubs detection. The findings suggest that HGPS disease mechanism and pathway is organized by an integrated PPI network centred on LAMIN gene product LMNA protein, while other proteins BANF1, ZMPSTE24, EMD, LMNB1, SYN1, SYN2 with high BC values predict their significant role in a network. The backbone network is robust against the changes of initial seed genes. The results may provide a basis for further experimental investigations to study PPI networks associated with HGPS and other relevant disease.
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